Radiologic evaluation of nonalcoholic fatty liver Disease
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Abstract
Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease (NAFLD) is a clinical syndrome characterized by predominant macrovesicular steatosis of the liver. NAFLD comprises a range of liver conditions varying in severity of hepatocytes injury and resulting fibrosis-cirrhosis risk. Among these, hepatic steatosis (fatty liver) is referred to as NAFL, and nonalcoholic fatty liver (NAFL) is defined as a more grave process with both fat and inflammation in the liver that over time can cause liver cirrhosis (steatohepatitis). Liver biopsy is the gold standard method to differentiate, whether the patient with fatty liver has only steatosis, or NASH. Unfortunately, liver biopsy has well-known limitations (invasiveness and sampling variability) and cannot be proposed for all patients, especially given the high prevalence of NAFLD worldwide. This review discuss the radiologic evaluation of liver steatosis and fibrosis for patients with NAFLD.

Introduction
Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is the leading cause of liver disease worldwide and is estimated to affect 25% of the global population 1. The histological definition of NAFLD is the presence of triacylglycerol (TAG) droplets in > 5% of hepatocytes, in the absence of excessive alcohol consumption or the use of steatogenic drugs 2. Histologically, NAFLD ranges in severity from steatosis alone (NAFL) to steatohepatitis (NASH), where steatosis is associated with hepatocellular injury, inflammation and fibrosis. Approximately 40% of patients with NAFLD will develop progressive fibrosis, which can result in cirrhosis 3,4.

Liver biopsy is currently considered the gold standard of diagnosis of NASH, however, it is invasive and limited by cost and sampling error 5. In addition, both patients and clinicians are often hesitant to pursue biopsy due to its invasive nature with potential for clinical complications including severe bleeding and rarely death 6. In real-world clinical practice, providers often use a combination of noninvasive serum tests, imaging results and endoscopic findings to arrive at a personalized diagnosis and risk stratification for an individual patient 7.

Radiologic Imaging of NAFLD
The clinical importance of NAFLD and the limitations of liver biopsy have increased the need for accurate and noninvasive imaging methods to evaluate NAFLD. To date, various imaging methods have been utilized to evaluate patients with NAFLD summarized in (Table 1). Each imaging method for liver fat quantification has its own advantages and disadvantages as shown in (Table 2). More recently, several imaging methods that measure liver stiffness have been investigated for their usefulness in assessing inflammation and fibrosis in patients with NAFLD 8.

Table 1: Summary of Radiologic tools for evaluation of NAFLD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radiologic tools for evaluation of NAFLD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conventional Ultrasoundography (CUS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doppler Ultrasoundography (DUS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computed Tomography (CT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic Resonance Imaging Proton Density Fat Fraction( MRI-PDFF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controlled Attenuation Parameter (CAP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vibration controlled Transient Elastography (VCTE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acoustic Radiation Force Impulse (ARFI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shear Wave Elastography (SWE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic Resonance Elastography (MRE)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Commonly used modalities for liver fat quantification 8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modality</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Point of care</th>
<th>Quantitative</th>
<th>Caveats</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CUS</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>May fail in obesity and in nonoverload and cirrhosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAP</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes, but not linear in higher liver fat content</td>
<td>Affected by type of probe and fibrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Semi-quantitative</td>
<td>Ionizing radiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI-PDFF</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Not suitable for screening</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CAP, controlled attenuation parameter; CT, computed tomography; CUS, conventional ultrasound; MRI-PDFF, magnetic resonance imaging proton density fat fraction
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Ultrasound (US) for evaluating hepatic steatosis.

Hepatic steatosis on US appears as a diffuse increase in hepatic echogenicity, or “bright liver”, due to increased reflection of US from the liver parenchyma, which is caused by intracellular accumulation of fat vacuoles. US evaluation of hepatic steatosis typically consists of a qualitative visual assessment of hepatic echogenicity, measurements of the difference between the liver and kidneys (Figure 1) in echo amplitude, evaluation of echo penetration into the deep portion of the liver, and determination of the clarity of blood vessel structures in the liver. Severity is usually graded clinically using a four-point scale, as follows: normal (grade 0), mild (grade 1), moderate (grade 2), and severe (grade 3) 9. In patients without coexisting liver disease, US offers a fairly accurate diagnosis of moderate-to-severe hepatic steatosis (i.e., defined as histologic degree ≥ 30% or 33%), with reported sensitivity ranging from 81.8% to 100.0% and specificity as high as 98%. In contrast, US was not accurate in diagnosing hepatic steatosis when all degrees of steatosis were considered (i.e., ≥ 3% or 5%), with a reported sensitivity ranging from 53.3% to 66.6% and specificity ranging from 77.0% to 93.1% 10. As hepatic fibrosis may also increase hepatic echogenicity, the presence of underlying chronic liver disease may reduce the accuracy of US in the diagnosis of hepatic steatosis. For example, one study that included hepatitis C patients found that US had a sensitivity of 60% and a specificity of 73% in detecting histologically proven moderate-to-severe hepatic steatosis 11. One major limitation of US is the substantial intra- and inter-observer variability. Another limitation of US is the qualitative nature of the current four-point grading system. Although this grading system is the most widely used for US evaluation of hepatic steatosis in practice, it is too simplistic to account for small alterations in steatosis severity on follow-up. Thus, US may be inadequate for evaluating patients with NASH after therapeutic intervention. To overcome the limitations of US, computer-assisted quantitative US techniques were developed for the assessment of hepatic steatosis. These techniques employ dedicated post-processing software programs to analyze US echo amplitude, attenuation, and/or texture-based information. The most robust parameter is the computerized hepatorenal index, defined as the ratio of the echo intensities of the liver and renal cortex. The results of two related studies were very promising, with this index demonstrating sensitivities of 92.7% and 100% and specificities of 91% and 92.5% in diagnosing hepatic steatosis ≥ 5% 12. Computerized quantitative analysis methods for US may be able to overcome these limitations, but they require further clinical validation. A closely related, but a non-imaging technique is controlled attenuation parameter (CAP). Of these, CAP is the most validated and commercially available through Echosens (Paris, France), the manufacturer of FibroScan. CAP is an objective measure of ultrasound attenuation and can be performed using the appropriately selected FibroScan probe to measure liver stiffness and steatosis simultaneously 13. A preliminary study in a mixed population of diffuse liver disease reported high correlation of CAP with histological steatosis grade (correlation coefficient 0.81) with excellent severity grading performance and high reproducibility 14. In a NAFLD population, validation data are still incomplete, but CAP has thus far shown promise as a standardized quantitative US biomarker for steatosis 15. However, further validation is needed, including evaluation of newer probes optimized for obese patients.

Figure 1. Ultrasound of NAFLD examples. In normal liver, the liver parenchyma is slightly more echogenic (i.e., brighter) than the right kidney (a). Posterior structures are well seen, including diaphragm (e). In the steatotic liver, the parenchyma becomes increasingly more echogenic than the kidney (b–d) and deep structures, including the diaphragm (arrow), which become progressively blurred (f–h) 16.

Computerized CT for evaluating hepatic steatosis.

CT evaluation of hepatic steatosis is based on the attenuation values of the liver parenchyma, evaluated as Hounsfield units (HUs), and dependent on tissue composition. As the attenuation value of fat (i.e., approximately -100 HU) is much lower than that of soft tissue, hepatic steatosis lowers the attenuation of liver parenchyma 17. Unenhanced CT scans are usually preferred to avoid the potential errors in contrast-enhanced CT caused by variations in liver attenuation related to contrast injection methods and scan timing. Several quantitative CT indices have been used to assess hepatic steatosis, with the two most frequently used being the absolute liver attenuation value (i.e., HU liver) and the liver-to-spleen difference in attenuation (i.e., CTL-S) (Figure 2). Despite HU liver showing a stronger correlation with histologic degree of hepatic steatosis than CTL-S, HU liver may be subject to errors resulting from variations in attenuation values across CT scanners from different vendors. This error can be avoided, however, by using CTL-S, which incorporates spleen attenuation as an internal control 18. CT was quite accurate for the diagnosis of moderate-to-severe steatosis but was not as accurate for detecting mild steatosis 9. To establish a more generalized threshold value of CT indices for the diagnosis of hepatic steatosis, a normal reference range for CTL-S (1-18 HU) was established using histologically proven, nonsteatotic
healthy livers. An HU liver of 48 and a CTL-S of -2 were found to be threshold values for a 100% specific diagnosis of moderate-to-severe hepatic steatosis. Several factors other than hepatic fat can influence liver attenuation on CT, including the presence of excess iron in the liver and the ingestion of certain drugs such as amiodarone \(^{19}\). Dual-energy CT can differentiate among several chemical components in tissue, by using X rays at two different energy levels. To date, studies revealed that its use did not improve the accuracy of conventional single-energy CT in assessing hepatic steatosis \(^{20}\). The low accuracy of CT in detecting a mild degree of hepatic steatosis suggests that, this method may not be suitable for the evaluation of NAFLD because patients with NAFLD frequently have a mild degree of steatosis \(^{21}\). Moreover, the potential hazard of ionizing radiation makes CT unsuitable for use in children or for longitudinal monitoring of patients with NAFLD. CT for longitudinal follow-up of hepatic steatosis is also uncertain, due to a lack of knowledge about the reproducibility of serial CT measurements and the assay sensitivity of CT indices in detecting small changes in the severity of hepatic steatosis. Therefore, CT may not be appropriate for the evaluation of NAFLD, although it may be useful in evaluating hepatic steatosis in specific clinical scenarios. For example, CT can be used successfully to detect moderate-to-severe hepatic steatosis in donor candidates for liver transplantation \(^{19}\), and CT measurement of fat in the liver may be useful for patients at risk of metabolic syndrome \(^{22}\).

**Figure 2.** CT evaluation of hepatic steatosis using CTL-S index. A: CT image of a normal liver, showing that its attenuation (65 HU) measured using regions-of-interest (white circles) was higher than that of the spleen (50 HU), and the CTL-S value was 15 HU, which lies within the normal reference range; B: CT image of a steatotic liver, showing hepatic attenuation (10.5 HU) much lower than that of the spleen (51 HU), making the CTL-S value -40.5 HU, far below the normal reference range and indicating moderate-to-severe hepatic steatosis \(^{23}\).

**Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) methods for evaluating hepatic steatosis.**

Unlike CT and US, which evaluate hepatic steatosis through proxy parameters (echogenicity and attenuation, respectively), MRI and magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) can more directly measure the quantity of hepatic fat in an objective manner using the quantitative index proton density fat fraction (PDFF), defined as the amount of protons bound to fat divided by the amount of all protons in the liver, including those bound to fat and water. The basic magnetic resonance (MR) physics used in both techniques to differentiate protons in fat from those in water is the chemical-shift phenomenon, i.e., the difference in MR frequency between the protons in fat and water \(^{23}\). Several MR imaging techniques are available, but by far the most widely used in clinical practice is called in-phase (IP) and opposed-phase (OP) imaging, also known as chemical shift imaging or two-point Dixon technique \(^{24}\). This dual-echo CSI technique is universally available on all modern clinical 1.5 and 3 Tesla (T) systems and included in most clinical abdominal MR examinations \(^{16}\). Multi parametric MRI refers to use of multiple quantitative (parametric) MRI features or measures with several possibilities for combinations \(^{25}\). Currently, multi-parametric quantitative MRI offers the most comprehensive set of NAFLD biomarkers for clinical care and research, not only allowing objective quantification of fat, but also iron and fibrosis, in a single examination i.e., a virtual liver biopsy \(^{16}\). Studies consistently demonstrated that MRS and MRI outperform CT and US in the diagnosis and grading of hepatic steatosis, even when MRS and MRI were performed without any of the sophisticated corrective methods e.g., (correction of T2 or T2* effects) \(^{9, 10}\). The MRI sensitivities and specificities in detecting histologic steatosis ≥ 5% were 76.7%-90.0% and 87.1%-91%, respectively, and the corresponding MRS performances were 80.0%-91.0% and 80.2%-87.0%, respectively \(^{9, 10}\). The standard deviation of PDFF values over repeated measurement was less than 1% for both MRS and MRI \(^{26}\).
Imaging diagnosis of NASH and elastography.

In general, no imaging examinations have been found to accurately diagnose NASH, making liver biopsy the only reliable method of distinguishing NASH from simple steatosis. US elastography and MR elastography, however, are emerging as promising methods to diagnose NASH. US elastography and MR elastography evaluate liver stiffness by measuring the velocity of shear wave using US (US elastography) or MRI (MR elastography). Several US elastography techniques have been described, which differ in methods of shear wave generation and/or detection, including transient elastography, acoustic radiation force impulse elastography, supersonic shear wave elastography, and real-time tissue elastography (Table 3) 27. These techniques were first applied to the evaluation of liver fibrosis in patients with chronic viral hepatitis, and their clinical application has recently been expanded to other liver diseases, including NAFLD. US elastography techniques have demonstrated very promising results for the diagnosis of liver fibrosis in NAFLD. They have shown a stepwise increase in liver stiffness as the severity of histologic liver fibrosis has increased, and have been highly accurate in differentiating advanced liver fibrosis from mild liver fibrosis, with sensitivities ranging from 88.9% to 100% and specificities ranging from 75.0% to 100%. Liver stiffness value did not correlate with the degree of hepatic steatosis or with hepatic inflammation, indicating that US elastography can assess hepatic fibrosis associated with steatosis without confounding by steatosis but would not be able to assess hepatic inflammation 28. A study of MR elastography in 58 patients with NAFLD showed that liver stiffness in patients with steatosis and lobular inflammation was significantly higher than in patients with steatosis only, and significantly lower than in patients with steatosis and fibrosis29. Taken together, these results indicate that US elastography or MR elastography may play a potential role in screening for NASH and/or advanced fibrosis in patients with NAFLD 23.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modality</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Point of care</th>
<th>Quality Criteria</th>
<th>Caveats</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VCTE</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Standardized</td>
<td>Increased variability in morbid obesity and cirrhosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARFI/SWE</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>Can be working on it</td>
<td>QIBA* is working on it</td>
<td>Increased variability in morbid obesity and cirrhosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRE</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>QIBA* is working on it</td>
<td>Excellent accuracy in obesity and cirrhosis. May fail in the setting of iron overload</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VCTE, vibration controlled transient elastography; ARFI, acoustic radiation forced impulse imaging; SWE, shear wave elastography; MRE, magnetic resonance elastography; QIBA, Quantitative Imaging Biomarkers Alliance.

Utility of Doppler US in NAFLD

Duplex Doppler ultrasonography (US) has been found to be an important diagnostic technique in the noninvasive evaluation of hepatic vasculature and some hepatic parenchymal diseases 30, 31. New findings suggest that diffuse fatty infiltration of liver can alter the hemodynamics in the hepatic veins (as detected by Doppler US) as well as the hepatic artery resistance index (HARI) 32, 33. Magalotti et al. showed that patients with NASH have decreased portal blood flow velocity, increased intrahepatic arterial resistance, and abnormalities in the Doppler wave forms of the hepatic veins 34. Additionally, some studies reported that assessment of indices of hepatic vasculature detected by Duplex Doppler improved the diagnostic performance of ultrasonography 35. As a methodology, it involves no radiation exposure, readily available, inexpensive, quantitative and rapid, prolongs the duration of a typical US examination by only 1-2 minutes 37.

A. Portal venous pulsatility index in NAFLD.

Intra-abdominal adipose tissues can be sub-divided into intraperitoneal and retroperitoneal adipose tissues. Such regional adiposity is believed to be important because venous drainage of the intraperitoneal adipose tissue goes directly to the liver, through the portal vein, whereas the retroperitoneal adipose tissue drains into the systemic circulation. Thus, free fatty acids, glycerol, and other adipocytokines that are released from the intraperitoneal adipose tissue may influence the hepatic metabolism of glucose, triglycerides, insulin, and other substrates and hormones. The portal fat hypothesis is based on this unique pattern of venous drainage 38. Portal vein pulsatility is an imaging biomarker measured by duplex Doppler assessment of the portal vein and quantified as the venous pulsatility index (VPI). VPI is calculated as (Vmax – Vmin) / Vmax, where Vmax is the maximum and Vmin is the minimum pulsated-wave Doppler ultrasound–estimated velocity of blood in the portal vein. In a rabbit model of steatosis, moderate fatty liver infiltration has been shown to cause significant reductions in portal and total hepatic blood flow and microcirculation, along with significant increases in hepatic artery flow and portal pressure 39. Although a few studies have investigated the distribution of VPI in patients with NAFLD, the accuracy of this method for identifying high-risk NAFLD is not known 40. Portal vein pulse Doppler values may be useful for disease diagnosis and the monitoring of responses to treatment. Improvement in these values was observed after treatment
based on dietary modifications, increased physical activity, and administration of metformin 34.

**Figure 4**: Calculation of portal venous pulsatility index (VPI). A, 47-year-old man with NAFLD with fibrosis stage of F0. B-mode sonographic image at level of main portal vein (MPV) with superimposed color Doppler and spectral Doppler ROIs shows how maximum (Vmax) and minimum (Vmin) velocity are calculated from spectral waveform. Calculated VPI of 0.61 is elevated and would be unlikely to reflect NAFLD. B, 59-year-old man with NAFLD with fibrosis stage of F4. Spectral Doppler waveform measured in MPV has minimal temporal variation. Low calculated VPI of 0.06 corresponds to high-risk NAFLD 40.

**B. Hepatic veins Doppler in NAFLD.**

The hepatic veins (HV) in a healthy subject have characteristic triphasic waveform pattern, which consists of three peaks; antegrade systolic and diastolic flow, and a short retrograde flow by right atrial systole 32. This flow pattern is influenced by the pressure in the right atrium, the compliance of the hepatic parenchyma, and modification of the intrathoracic and intraabdominal pressures produced by respiration 41. It has been demonstrated that decreased phasicity of hepatic veins with biphasic or monophasic waveform is associated with cirrhosis, fibrosis, hepatitis, transplant rejection, hepatic vein thrombosis (Budd-Chiari syndrome), hepatic veno-occlusive disease, and fatty liver 31.

HV Doppler waveform has been studied well in chronic parenchymal liver diseases in the past decade, whereas the relation of fatty liver and abnormal HV Doppler waveform was searched in only few studies, mostly as a small subgroup of patients for comparison with parenchymal liver diseases 42. Although arterial blood flow increases in fatty liver, portal venous flow decreases. Blood flow changes in fatty liver might be due to hypertrophied hepatocytes that cause HV compression and subsequently decrease venous blood flow phasicity, leading to a change in HV waveforms. Adding Doppler examination to the routine conventional US examination in patients with fatty liver can provide contributive information about the severity of fat accumulation and effect on liver perfusion 43. Many research studies had inferred that diffuse fatty infiltration of the liver may cause altered flow patterns in the hepatic veins and doppler indices of hepatic artery 42.

**C. Hepatic Artery Resistive Index.**

A number of studies published before the advent of Transient Elastography (TE) into clinical practice demonstrated that the assessment of some haemodynamic parameters provided by Doppler US investigation of hepatic vessels might indirectly reflect histological alterations, namely liver fibrosis. In particular, the resistance index in the hepatic and in the splenic artery (HARI and SARI, respectively) was demonstrated to increase in cirrhosis in comparison to chronic viral hepatitis 44. The hepatic artery resistive index (HARI) is used for follow-up of microcirculatory resistance in fatty liver, adult alcoholic liver disease, chronic hepatitis, and post-transplant liver patients 45, 46. A study conducted by Hizli et al revealed that ALT, TG, and TC levels and HARI level of obese subjects with fatty liver are significantly higher than those of lean subjects. Elevation in HARI level was also correlated significantly with BMI increase. They recommend that HARI be a preliminary candidate for the detection of early derangement of hepatic arterial perfusion due to insulin resistance 47. Another Italian study found a significant inverse correlation between HARI and severity of diffuse fatty liver disease in NAFLD patients, with a significant decrease in HARI as severity of fatty disease increases 36. These results substantially confirm the data published previously 33, 48. Additionally, the measurement of HARI has demonstrated a significant positive correlation with fibrosis degree, as measured with NAFLD fibrosis score, suggesting that the fibrous tissue accumulation may result in increased arterial rigidity and, therefore, in a rise of resistance to flow, and that the different tissue composition of the liver (adipose versus fibrous) can influence HARI differently 36, 49. Gray US index (echogenicity of the liver) might not change in some of the patients who responded to therapy, or it might tend to decrease more slowly than RI index. This shows the fact that assessing the improvement in subjects with fatty liver is more reliable using Doppler US and HARI and points attention to the importance of early diagnosis and the urgent need of the characterization of hepatic vessel flow abnormalities in the NAFLD population 50.

**Conclusion**

Radiologic tools for evaluation of NAFLD provide a promising noninvasive methods for assessment of liver steatosis and fibrosis. Providers can use a combination of noninvasive serum tests, imaging results and endoscopic findings to arrive at a personalized diagnosis and risk stratification avoiding unnecessary liver biopsies.
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